

1 Anna Y. Park, SBN 164242  
anna.park@eeoc.gov  
2 Nakkisa Akhavan, SBN 236260  
nakkisa.akhavan@eeoc.gov  
3 Taylor Markey, SBN 319557  
taylor.markey@eeoc.gov  
4 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT  
5 OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION  
6 255 East Temple Street, Fourth Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90012  
7 Telephone: (213) 422-8396  
8 Facsimile: (213) 894-1301  
E Mail: lado.legal@eeoc.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
10 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT  
11 OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14  
15 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT  
16 OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC., BLIZZARD  
20 ENTERTAINMENT, INC., ACTIVISION  
21 PUBLISHING, INC., and KING.COM, INC.,  
and DOES ONE through TEN, inclusive,

22 Defendants.  
23

) Case No.:

) **COMPLAINT—TITLE VII**

- ) • **Sexual Harassment**
- ) • **Sex Discrimination (Pregnancy)**
- ) • **Retaliation**

) **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

24 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

25 This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil  
26 Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices based on sex and to provide  
27 appropriate relief to a class of individuals who were adversely affected by such practices. As set  
28 forth with greater particularity in paragraphs 1 to 26 of this Complaint, Plaintiff United States

1 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“Plaintiff” or “Commission”) alleges that there  
2 have been instances where Defendants Activision Blizzard, Inc., Blizzard Entertainment, Inc.,  
3 Activision Publishing, Inc., King.com, Inc., and their subsidiaries (“Defendants”) have subjected  
4 a class of individuals to sexual harassment, to pregnancy discrimination and/or to related  
5 retaliation under Title VII.

6  
7 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337,  
9 1343 and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to §§ 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title  
10 VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) (“Title VII”)  
11 and §102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

12 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful cover Defendants’ California  
13 facilities and other locations throughout the U.S. Defendants have locations in Texas,  
14 Minnesota, New York, Wisconsin, and Arkansas.

15  
16 **PARTIES**

17 3. Plaintiff is an agency of the United States of America charged with the  
18 administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring  
19 this action by §§ 706(f)(1) and (3), Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3). The EEOC was  
20 created out of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and tasked by Congress to enforce federal anti-  
21 discrimination laws in employment.

22 4. At all relevant times, Defendants have continuously been doing business in  
23 California and other locations throughout the United States.

24 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Activision Blizzard, Inc. has continuously been a  
25 corporation doing business in the State of California, and continuously had at least 15 employees  
26 either jointly or directly.

27 6. At all relevant times, Defendant Blizzard Entertainment, Inc. has continuously  
28 been a corporation doing business in the State of California, and continuously had at least 15

1 employees.

2 7. At all relevant times, Defendant Activision Publishing, Inc. has continuously been  
3 a corporation doing business in the State of California, and continuously had at least 15  
4 employees.

5 8. At all relevant times, Defendant King.com, Inc. has continuously been a  
6 corporation doing business in the State of California, and continuously had at least 15  
7 employees.

8 9. At all relevant times, Defendant Activision Blizzard, Inc. has continuously been  
9 an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b),  
10 (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

11 10. At all relevant times, Defendant Blizzard Entertainment, Inc. has continuously  
12 been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections  
13 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

14 11. At all relevant times, Defendant Activision Publishing, Inc. has continuously been  
15 an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b),  
16 (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

17 12. At all relevant times, King.com, Inc. has continuously been an employer engaged  
18 in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title  
19 VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

20 13. All acts and failures to act alleged herein were duly performed by and attributable  
21 to all Defendants, each acting as a successor, agent, alter ego, employee, indirect employer, joint  
22 employer, integrated enterprise and/or or under the direction and control of the others, except as  
23 specifically alleged otherwise. Said acts and failures to act were within the scope of such agency  
24 and/or employment, and each Defendant participated in, approved and/or ratified the unlawful  
25 acts and omissions by the other Defendants complained of herein. Whenever and wherever  
26 reference is made in this Complaint to any act by a Defendant or Defendants, such allegations  
27 and reference shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting  
28 individually, jointly, and/or severally.



1 19. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

2 20. At all relevant times, Defendants have been a company that creates and distributes  
3 video games and other gaming-related content throughout the United States, with Activision  
4 Blizzard, Inc., and Activision Publishing, Inc.'s principal places of business in Santa Monica,  
5 CA and Blizzard Entertainment's principal place of business in Irvine, CA.

6 21. Since in or around September 2016, there have been instances where Defendants  
7 have engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of §§ 701(k), 703(a) and 704(a) of  
8 Title VII, 42 U.S.C. 2000e-2(a) and 2000e-3(a) by subjecting a class of individuals to sexual  
9 harassment, to pregnancy discrimination and/or to retaliation.

10 22. Employees were subjected sexual harassment that was severe or pervasive to alter  
11 the conditions of employment. The conduct was unwelcome and adversely affected the  
12 employees. The Defendants knew or should have known of the sexual harassment of the  
13 adversely affected employees.

14 23. Some employees complained about the sexual harassment, but Defendants failed  
15 to take corrective and preventative measures. Once Defendants knew or should have known of  
16 the sexual harassment of the adversely affected employees, Defendants failed to take prompt and  
17 effective remedial action reasonably calculated to end the harassment.

18 24. Defendants discriminated against employees due to their pregnancy that adversely  
19 affected the employees.

20 25. Defendants retaliated against employees who engaged in activity protected by  
21 Title VII including, but not limited to, rejecting and/or complaining about sexual harassment  
22 and/or complaining about pregnancy discrimination. As a result of engaging in such protected  
23 activity, employees were subjected to adverse employment actions including discharge or  
24 constructive discharge.

25 The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 20 to 25 above  
26 adversely affected employees to suffer damages including emotional distress.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, their officers, successors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with each of them, from engaging in sexual harassment, retaliation, and any other employment practices which discriminate based on sex, including pregnancy.

B. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs to ensure equal employment opportunities, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.

C. Order Defendants to make the adversely affected employees whole by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to back pay, reinstatement, or front pay in lieu thereof.

D. Order Defendants to make the adversely affected employee whole by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses, including but not limited to out-of-pocket expenses suffered by them which resulted from the unlawful employment practices described above in the amounts to be determined at trial.

E. Order Defendants to make the adversely affected employees whole by providing compensation for non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above in amounts to be determined at trial.

F. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.

//  
//  
//  
//  
//  
//

**JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

Dated: 09-27-2021

Respectfully Submitted,

GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS,  
Acting General Counsel

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT  
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION  
131 "M" Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20507



By: \_\_\_\_\_

ANNA Y. PARK  
Regional Attorney  
Los Angeles District Office  
U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT  
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28